



Clinical Pharmacology & Toxicology Pearl of the Week

~ Causality Assessment Tools, Part 3: WHO-UMC assessment ~

Case:

A 70-year-old female develops a morbilliform rash approximately 10 days after receiving antibiotics for septic arthritis. She received vancomycin and piperacillin/tazobactam initially, which was changed to cefazolin after cultures showed MSSA. She is currently on the cefazolin. There is no evidence of new onset end organ damage, but the eosinophil count is slowly increasing.

You are consulted by the bedside team for an opinion on which of her medications could have caused this rash as she is supposed to receive a total of 4 weeks of antibiotics for her septic arthritis treatment. Decisions need to be made about continuing versus stopping the antibiotics.

What tool(s) will you use to help determine drug causality?

Background:

- ✓ An adverse drug reaction (ADR) is defined as a noxious and unintended response to a drug administered at doses normally used for desired effect.
- ✓ There are consequences for ADRs as they produce significant morbidity and mortality.
- ✓ In Canada, more than 1 in 9 Emergency Department visits are due to drug-related adverse events, and medication and fluid-related events are the second-leading cause of hospital-based adverse events behind surgical complications.

Causality Assessment Tools:

- ✓ Prior to the development of tools, decisions on stopping or continuing medications were often left to clinical judgement/expert opinion.
- ✓ These decisions are important for patients who may be at risk of worsening disease by avoiding the drug.
- ✓ Causality analysis (CA) is an approach that helps to determine a causal link between medication and patient harm (i.e. an ADR).
- ✓ While numerous CA tools exist, there is no gold standard.
- ✓ There are several causality assessment tools that have been developed. These include the Naranjo Scale, the Liverpool Causality Assessment Tool, and the WHO-UMC causality assessment. There are also specific tools for specific conditions, like RUCAM for liver injury, and J-SCAR and REGISCAR for DRESS Syndrome.
- ✓ Difficulties in establishing causality assessments with decisional algorithms are often due to the presence of confounding variables.
- ✓ Confounding variables include underlying disease, concomitant use of other drugs, absence of published data, and effect of dechallenge or rechallenge of simultaneous drugs (if performed). This affects both intra and inter-rater reliability.
- ✓ An individual's clinical expertise remains essential to appropriate causality analysis.
- ✓ It is often recommended to use more than one tool in practice when performing an assessment. As such, it is important to know the strengths and limitations of several tools.

WHO-UMC assessment:

- ✓ A global introspective assessment tool that relies on the clinical experience and clinical judgement of the user
- ✓ There are several limitations, including the reliance on clinical judgement and the need for rechallenge

Table 2. WHO-UMC Causality Categories

<i>Causality term</i>	<i>Assessment criteria*</i>
Certain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Event or laboratory test abnormality, with plausible time relationship to drug intake • Cannot be explained by disease or other drugs • Response to withdrawal plausible (pharmacologically, pathologically) • Event definitive pharmacologically or phenomenologically (i.e. an objective and specific medical disorder or a recognised pharmacological phenomenon) • Rechallenge satisfactory, if necessary
Probable / Likely	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Event or laboratory test abnormality, with reasonable time relationship to drug intake • Unlikely to be attributed to disease or other drugs • Response to withdrawal clinically reasonable • Rechallenge not required
Possible	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Event or laboratory test abnormality, with reasonable time relationship to drug intake • Could also be explained by disease or other drugs • Information on drug withdrawal may be lacking or unclear
Unlikely	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Event or laboratory test abnormality, with a time to drug intake that makes a relationship improbable (but not impossible) • Disease or other drugs provide plausible explanations
Conditional / Unclassified	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Event or laboratory test abnormality • More data for proper assessment needed, or • Additional data under examination
Unassessable / Unclassifiable	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Report suggesting an adverse reaction • Cannot be judged because information is insufficient or contradictory • Data cannot be supplemented or verified

* All points should be reasonably complied with

WHO-UMC causality assessment method

Categories	Time sequence	Other drugs/disease ruled out	Dechallenge	Rechallenge
Certain	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Probable	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Possible	Yes	No	No	No
Unlikely	No	No	No	No

Case resolution:

Using multiple causality assessment tools, it is determined that the most likely culprit drug is cefazolin. The cefazolin is stopped, and the patient's rash and lab tests improve. She is switched to daptomycin for MSSA infection management and is discharged with follow up with Orthopedics as an outpatient.

References:

- ✓ Um et al. Comparison of the Liverpool Causality Assessment Tool vs. the Naranjo Scale for predicting the likelihood of an adverse drug reaction: A retrospective cohort study. *BJCP* 2023;1-6.
- ✓ Baker et al. The Canadian Adverse Events Study. *CMAJ* 2004;170(11):1678-86.
- ✓ Deutscher et al. A scoping review of the clinical utility of adverse drug reaction causality analysis tools for use in the hospital setting. *Exp Rev Clin Pharm* 2024.
- ✓ Naranjo et al. A method for estimating the probability of adverse drug reactions. *Clin Pharm Ther* 1981 August.
- ✓ Gallagher et al. Development and Inter-Rater Reliability of the Liverpool Adverse Drug Reaction Causality Assessment Tool. *PLOS One* 2011 6(12): e28096.
- ✓ WHO. The use of the WHO-UMC system for standardised case causality assessment.

The Clinical Pharmacology (CP) physician consultation service is available Mon-Fri, 8am-5pm. The on-call physician is listed in ROCA on the AHS Insite page. CP consultations are also available through Netcare e-referral and Specialist Link. You can also find us in the [Alberta Referral Directory](#) (ARD) by searching "Pharmacology" from the ARD home page. Click [HERE](#) for more details about the service.

The Poison and Drug Information Service (PADIS) is available 24/7 for questions related to poisonings. Please call 1-800-332-1414 (AB and NWT) or 1-866-454-1212 (SK). Information about our outpatient Medical Toxicology Clinic can be found in [Alberta Referral Directory](#) (ARD) by searching "Toxicology" from the ARD home page.

More CPT Pearls of the Week can be found [HERE](#).