Hodsman Lecture Survey & Evaluation Form

A. Hodsman Lecture Survey

<u>Please complete the following 3 questionnaire- survey to help the Emergency Department provide successful CME event</u>

Q1. Please rank the following topics in order of preference for topics within the next two years (1=most preferred, 4= least preferred)

Topic	1=most preferred	2 nd preferred	3 rd preferred	4=least preferred
ILCOR, CPR, ALS guidelines, Evidence				
Mass casualty incidents, Disaster management				
Epidemics				
Airway- Dr. Rich Levitan				

Q2. How important is it to you that the Calgary zone emergency department continue a half day CME event with a visiting speaker, focused on a central theme as the Hodsman lecture has done over the past 5 years 5=very important, 3=neutral, 1=should not continue

Q3. How important is it to you to have the option of attending a social event with the visiting speaker free of charge? 5=very important, 3=neutral, 1=should not continue

B. Hodsman Lecture Series 2020 Evaluation Form

Please Complete For Attendance Credit Sign at Bottom

Date :	Presentation 1	Presentation 2	Presentation 3	
Presentation Title	"Suicidality in the ED:	Panel "Key Calgary Zone ED	"No More Band-Aids:	
	Opportunities To Make a	MH Initiatives"	Could A Pediatric Acute	
	Difference"		Mental Health Care	
			Bundle Improve	
			Outcomes"	
Name of Presenter	Dr. Gregory Larkin	(Drs. Jennifer Nicol, Lisa	, Lisa Dr. Stephen Freedman	
		Harpur, Rachel Grimminck,		
		Valerie Taylor)		

Please complete the following questions and return this evaluation at the end of the presentation. Rate the presenter using a 5-point scale, where 1 is "extremely poor", and 5 is "excellent".

PRESENTATION	Presentation 1 Rate 1-5	Presentation 2 Rate 1-5	Presentation 3 Rate 1-5
Did the presenter demonstrate thorough knowledge of his/her topic?			
Was the presenter clear and well organized?			
Did the presenter stimulate enthusiasm about her/his topic relating to their presentation or concepts?			
Did the presenter provide adequate detail at the appropriate level?			
Did the presenter use clear, concise and legible visual materials?			
Did the presenter use an effective style/method of presentation?			
Did the presenter establish a rapport with the audience?			
Did the presenter invite audience questions or participation?			
Did the presenter provide a presentation objective?			

Drocontation 1	· "Quicidality in	the ED:	Opportunities ¹	To Make a	Difference"	Dr Gragor	v I arkin
Presentation i	. Suicidanty in	the ED:	Opportunities	i o iviake a	a Dillerence .	. Dr. Gredor	v Larkin

Δ	Please comment	on areas of	this presentation	n that were done we	٠١١٠

B. Please provide suggestions for improvements for future presentations:

<u>Presentation2: Panel "Key Calgary Zone ED MH Initiatives. Drs. Jennifer Nicol, Dr. Lisa Harpur, Dr. Rachel Grimminck, Dr. Valerie Taylor</u>

A.	Please comment on areas of this presentation that were done well:
B.	Please provide suggestions for improvements for future presentations:
reser	ntation3: "No More Band-Aids: Could A Pediatric Acute Mental Health Care Bundle Improve Outcomes" Dr <u>en Freedman</u>
	Please comment on areas of this presentation that were done well:
B.	Please provide suggestions for improvements for future presentations:

This program meets the accreditation criteria of the College of Family Physicians of Canada and has been accredited for 1.5 MainPro-M1 credits. This program is approved as an accredited group learning activity as defined by the maintenance of certification program of the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada.
PRINT name & SIGN here for attendance credit:
Please return this form to Amani Otoom after the event or email to her at amani.otoom@ahs.ca