

Notice of Thesis Oral Examination

Questions about the use of this information should be directed to the FOIP Advisor, Faculty of Graduate Studies, (403) 220-4938.

C c ~ d:	Student Information						
Candi	date: Ruel,Tyler David		Date: 2019-11-01		UCID:		
Department/Program: Biochemistry & Molecular Biol							
Degree: Master of Science Specialization: N/A							
Final Thesis Title: Characterization of muscle-associated cells in adult zebrafish							
Examination Information							
This exam is: Open							
The examination will be conducted under the current Thesis and Thesis examination regulations and							
	nistrative processes.	1					
Date	ate of Examination: 2019-12-05 Time of Examination: 10:00 AM Place of Examination		Examination: F	ation: HSC G344			
				Attending remotely?	Clear of conflict of interest		
Dr Justin Anthony MacDonald, Neutral Chair, Biochemistry and Molecular Biology						N/A	
Dr Peng Huang, Supervisor, Biochemistry and Molecular Biology					N/A		
Dr Jeffrey Allan Biernaskie, Supervisory Committee Member, Neuroscience Dr Sarah J Childs, Supervisory Committee Member, Biochemistry and Molecular					N/A N/A		
Biolog		milloo Worlbor, Blo	onormony and word	Journal		14/71	
Dr Roman Krawetz, Internal Examiner, Medical Science							
Thos	tudent's signature below ack		nowledgement	monto			
THE S	tudent's signature below acr	chowledges the ex	animation arrange	emems.			
Date:		Candidate Sigr	nature:				
		Supervisor's A	knowledgement				
The Supervisor's signature below verifies: That the Internal Examiner meets the following criteria: †							
mall	he Internal Examiner meets the						
	he Internal Examiner meets the has well-established research	e following criteria: †					
		e following criteria: † n reputation					
	has well-established research	e following criteria: [†] n reputation ne student's researd	ch				
	has well-established research has expertise in the area of the	e following criteria: [†] n reputation ne student's researd theses at the gradu	ch ate level				
	has well-established research has expertise in the area of the has experience in evaluating	e following criteria: the reputation ne student's research theses at the gradug to completion at the	ch ate level				
	has well-established research has expertise in the area of the has experience in evaluating has experience in supervising	e following criteria: † n reputation ne student's researce theses at the gradu g to completion at the of the Supervisor	ch ate level ne graduate level				
	has well-established research has expertise in the area of th has experience in evaluating has experience in supervising is not a close personal friend	e following criteria: † n reputation ne student's researce theses at the gradu g to completion at the of the Supervisor Supervisor in the p	ch ate level ne graduate level ast five years				
	has well-established research has expertise in the area of th has experience in evaluating has experience in supervising is not a close personal friend has not collaborated with the	e following criteria: 1 n reputation ne student's research theses at the gradu g to completion at th of the Supervisor Supervisor in the payer the first point of the payer of the worked with	ch ate level ne graduate level ast five years the candidate	why the pro	gram still wish	es to make	

A.1.1.						
☐ That all members of the Supervisory committee have reviewed the student's research, a relevant written sample of the material related to the thesis, and/or the draft thesis document and provided written consent that the defence can be scheduled. All consents must be held at the program.						
Date:	Supervisor Sig	gnature:				
Graduate Program Director's (GPD) Acknowledgement						
The Graduate Program Director's signature below verifies: †						
☐ That the Internal Examiner is external to the student's program (if required)						
☐ That the External Examiner has not served as an external examiner in the student's program in the past two years.						
†If the above criteria have not been met, please attach a memo explaining why the program still wishes to make the recommendation.						
☐ The student named above has met all program requirements to proceed to Oral Examination, completed the required course work, maintained a grade point average of at least 3.0, appropriate formal ethics approval has been received for the student's research, and document of Supervisory Committee approval is on file.						
If approval is conditional, please describe:						
Date: GPI	D name (print):	GPD Signature:				
For Faculty of Graduate Studies use only						
Membership of Examination Committee ☐ Approved ☐ Not Approved						

Dean, Faculty of Graduate Studies (or designate) Signature:

Date: