LOI Evaluation

STUDY #: PI:			Reviewer: Date completed:						
□Research									
□Innovation									
Mark the score for each row with an 'X' in the appropriate column									ble
				Poor	Acceptable	Good	Very good	Excellent	Not applicable
Clear objectives, question(s), hypothesis								<u> </u>	
Sufficient background information								<u> </u>	
Significance, applicability								<u> </u>	
Contributes to the advancement in the field of health/med ed scholarship								<u> </u>	
Advances the scholarly capacity of the Cumming School of Medicine					<u> </u>				
Appropriate study design given the goals or question(s)									
Skills/technical capabilities of team align with proposed work									
Proposed use of funding									
EDI considerations in research/innovation design								<u> </u>	
Appropriate methods, relate to hypothesis/research questions								<u> </u>	
Implementation plan (for innovations)					<u> </u>			<u> </u>	
Data collection and analysis / evaluation plan								igsqcup	
Originality									
Global rating	Definitely do not proceed to second round	Probably not proceed to second round	prod	obably Definitely ceed to proceed to second rou			eed to		
Feedback to PI: Strengths, weaknesses, other issues or comments									