GSE Feedback—Research Ability and Potential

Areas for Improvement	Criteria	Areas Where Application is Strong	Score (0.0-5.0)
	<u>1 Research Contributions</u> (Quality and originality of contributions to research and development)		
	This area should be used to detail the work that applicants have done, what the outcomes were, who was impacted by the work, and what this has done to get your proposed project underway (think trajectory).		
	<u>2 Relevant Training</u> (Relevant training, such as work or academic training, lived experience and traditional teachings)		
	Does the applicants background set them up for success in this project? If they have "unrelated" expertise, how have they leveraged it to demonstrate how it could lead to future success?		
	<u>3 Quality of Research Proposal</u> (significance, feasibility and merit of proposed research; clear description of the proposed methodology; and, significance and expected contributions to research)		
	Does the applicant provide context for the research question? Is it accessible for non-experts? Does it provide enough experimental details for experts? Is the significance well-articulated?		

<u>4 Demonstration of Critical Thinking</u> (Demonstration of sound judgement and ability to think critically) Can you see a logical flow in the application?	
Did the applicant provide evidence of when they had to overcome research obstacles and how did they do this?	
<u>5 Initiative, Autonomy and Independence</u> (Enthusiasm for research, originality, initiative, autonomy)	
Has the applicant displayed academic/research independence? Creation of opportunities for self and others?	
<u>6 Research Experience</u> (Research experience and achievements relative to the	
applicant's stage of study, lived experience and knowledge systems)	
Please keep in mind that this is VERY relative, both in relation to	
where an applicant is in their training program and in types of	
research (is the research population or intervention require time? Patient recruitment? Access to specialized databased,	
populations?).	
For this, keep in mind that there could be a broad range of	
achievements (local presentations, etc.).	

If an applicant has not sent all documents, please write N/A on those you don't feel you can comment on.

Scoring Guide

Descriptor	Range	Descriptor	Range	Descriptor	Range
Outstanding	4.5-4.9				
Excellent	4.0-4.4	Good	3.0-3.4	Below Average	1.0-1.9
Very Good	3.5-3.9	Average	2.0-2.9	Not Acceptable	0.0-0.9

CIHR's traditional rating scale.