IPR Planner for Resubmissions

The Planner provided here incorporates best practices for effective internal peer review (IPR) that we have seen in the faculty, and will help you establish a timeline for IPR. The suggested timelines are based on 11 weeks between the notice of recommendation and the next application deadline – more time is always better. We have found that setting milestones makes grant writing and the IPR process more manageable should you need to resubmit – you can always cancel if you are funded. Note that these are suggestions based on practices we have seen work. Be sure to check with your Research Institute to see if they have a different form of IPR they would like you to follow.

Milestone	Time before internal deadline	Target Date	Confirmed Date	Details and considerations (for <i>Resubmissions</i>)
Review competition guidelines and identify the types of reviewer expertise required for your internal peer review	6 months			 What types of reviewers will review your application*? Who has reviewed for the agency/competition/committee in the past**? Who has been funded by this competition in the past**? What methods and approaches do you plan to propose? What pillar of research are you working within? * Remember, for most competitions your reviewers are unlikely to be experts in your exact area of research. At least one reviewer is likely to be more of a generalist than a specialist. ** Guidelines and criteria change often so ensure that your internal reviewers are aware of the most up-to-date requirements.
Contact your IPR Review Lead and confirm reviewers	5.5-6 months			 Who is the <u>Review Lead</u> for your Research Institute? Your Review Lead can help you select reviewers and identify a committee chair.
Invite reviewers & chair and schedule meetings	5-6 months			 Let reviewers know why you are asking them (e.g., what expertise/experience do you hope to draw on). Tell reviewers what competition you are applying to and a bit about your research. Be clear about what you are asking of them (e.g., how many meetings, the purpose of each meeting, how long will they have to provide their feedback). Invite your internal reviewers to provide the same honest, constructive feedback they would give if they were committee members reviewing for the competition. Asking them to provide an actual score provides the most important information. Confirm your commitment to meet deadlines and carefully consider their feedback.

Meeting #1 - How to approach the reviewer feedback	10 weeks	 Send your internal reviewers the following as soon as you receive your notice of recommendation (NOR)/results: Competition guidelines/objectives, evaluation criteria, committee mandates (if you are considering changing committees) Your complete, previously submitted application The reviewer feedback you received Ask your internal reviewers the following: What are the reviewers telling me? Should I resubmit immediately or do I need to wait? Should I resubmit at all? What are the main issues and can they be addressed? Does my plan to address the comments make sense? Did you identify other issues with my grant?
Meeting #2 – Draft resubmission	6-7 weeks	 Send your internal reviewers the following 1 week prior to the meeting: Competition guidelines/objectives, evaluation criteria, instructions for each section of the grant Your full draft application — it is also important to obtain feedback on sections like your summary of progress, response to reviewers, HQP training plan, EDI plan, etc. At the meeting: Let the peer review criteria for your competition guide the meeting. Start by asking your reviewers about the strengths and weaknesses. Ask if you have adequately addressed the previous reviewer feedback. Ask for feedback on both the scientific content and the grantsmanship.
3 rd review (written feedback and/or external review)	3-4 weeks	Send your reviewers the following 1 week prior : • Your revised application • Summary of feedback from your last meeting • Competition guidelines, instructions, and evaluation criteria
Send to the CSM Grant Development Office for review	1-2 weeks	Send the following: • Your full application, including the budget (not just the proposal) • Any specific questions or areas to focus on
Submit to RSO – Final Internal Deadline	0 weeks	 Your Department Head and the Associate Dean Research Grants must approve your <u>RMS</u> record <u>before</u> the internal deadline. You must submit your final complete application to RSO by the internal deadline — typically noon, 3 business days before the agency deadline; watch for weekends/long weekends ahead of the agency deadline. See <u>External Grant Application Requirements</u> and RSO's <u>Research Opportunities</u> <u>Database</u> for more details on how to submit your application and internal deadlines.