Student Evaluation Committee (SEC) Minutes

APPROVED
Tuesday, November 3rd 2020
2:00-4:00 PM
Meeting via Zoom

Attendees: Drs. Sarah Anderson, Glenda Bendiak, Kevin Busche, Melinda Davis, Adrian Harvey, Jolene Haws, Carol Hutchison, Kevin McLaughlin, Jacques Rizkallah, Sarah Weeks, Lian Willetts, Ms. Samin Dolatabadi, Ms. Sue-Ann Facchini, Ms. Lindey Felske, Ms. Suzanne George, Ms. Shannon Leskosky, Ms. Kerri Martin, Mr. Mike Paget, Ms. Laura Palmer, Mr. Matthew Sobczak, Ms. Sibyl Tai, Ms. Danielle Jean (admin)

Regrets: Drs. Harish Amin, Janeve Desy, Kent Hecker, Deirdre Jenkins, Michelle Jung, Suneina Mohan, Chris Naugler, Wayne Rosen, Mike Walsh, Mr. Scott Bell, Ms. Fiona Burns, Ms. Karen Chadbolt, Ms. Tabitha Hawes, Ms. Erica Lindquist, Mr. Arjun Maini

Call to Order
The meeting was conducted through Zoom and was called to order at 2:00 p.m. by Dr. K. McLaughlin (Chair).
Welcome to members and a brief overview of what the meeting would entail.

1. Approval of Meeting Agenda – Approved.

2. Approval of TOR (pending edits) – Approved.

3. Approval of Minutes from September 22, 2020 Meeting – Approved.

4. Standing Items

   1.1 Other Committees:

   Pre-Clerkship – Reporting of scores on Anatomy quiz without passmark/mpl/decision: Dr. McLaughlin explained how finding the MPL on each of the quizzes is calculated using the Hofstee method. He went on to say after each quiz the class is given their individual score, the mean score for the class and the standard deviation until the MPL is decided as to not provide potentially misleading information to the students. Dr. Anderson and the committee discussed the way the online exams are completed and how things work for students opening their exams later than the scheduled start time and it was determined that this would work the same as an in person exam. Dr. Harvey pointed out that there is also some additional time included in the online exam to allow for any technical issues.

   Release of grades before all components of evaluation completed: Ms. Palmer and Ms. George led a discussion based on the implications from deferring an exam and not receiving any further marks in a course leading students to be unsure if they have passed or failed and need to do a rewrite. This is particularly for course 4 and the deferral of the peripatetic exam and the not knowing their grades on the final exam in Course 4. Dr. McLaughlin responded with his presentation based around validity in assessments. He explained what a performance bias is and how it could affect the results of the class.
when they have knowledge of their scores on other components of the course. After discussion, no motion was proposed to release grades before all components of evaluation are completed.

**Correction of Hofstee boundaries following removal of peripatetic exams from Course 1-7:** Dr. McLaughlin explained how removing the peripatetic exams for the pre-clerkship courses will affect the pass/fail marks in the rest of the course components for students.

**Proposal:** Dr. Busche proposed with Dr. McLaughlin’s wording, when adjusting the Hofstee borders for the removal of the peripatetic we should change the Hofstee borders to reflect any differences in students’ overall performance due to removal of the peripatetic or any other evaluation component.

All in favour.

**UMEC –** no report at this time.

**Clerkship – UCLIC MCQs Exams Written at Student’s Rural Site(s) Progress:** Dr. McLaughlin asked if there were any updates based upon last meetings UCLIC MCQs writings agenda item and it was determined that this is going ahead as favored with the first exam being in December.

**Assessment of performance on junior clerkship/IPE elective/CEL elective:** Dr. Busche updated the committee of the different challenges being faced for the upcoming clerkship year with the overlap of clerkship years and how things are different with COVID-19 involved as well. Dr. Busche explained how the class of 2022 clerkship will start including clinical experience to ease into their clerkship year. The discussion in the committee went on to talk about a proposed assessment tool with a rating scale, and how that will work based upon on the junior clerkship time, the IPE elective and the CEL elective.

**1.2 Student Reports:** Ms. George and Ms. Palmer raised concern in regards to their OSCE comments not being received yet. Ms. Martin let them know that they were still being worked on by the developer and they are not finished to be distributed as of yet.

**1.3 Academic Technologies:** Mr. Paget furthered from last meeting about the cards for formative exams for both the TBL and Dr. Harden’s skin of colour dermatology research study and noted that they are a few steps closer to this being an option moving forward.

**1.4 Evaluation Team:** no reports at this time.

2. **Old Business/Updates – n/a**

3. **Reports – n/a**

Drs. Weeks and McLaughlin thanked the evaluation team members for all of their efforts and work during the pandemic and making sure everything still has ran smoothly.

Dr. McLaughlin thanked the committee for their input. **Meeting adjourned @ 3:50 p.m.**

**Minutes by:** Danielle Jean

**Edited by:** Dr. K. McLaughlin