1. **Approval of Agenda and January 25th 2019 Minutes**
   - Automatically approved as no changes were requested.

2. **Introduction of New Members**

3. Dr. McLaughlin introduced two new members to SEC Dr. Suneina Mohan the evaluation coordinator of the psychiatry clerkship and representative of the clerkship committee, as well as Ms. Johanna Holm the representative from the Student Advising and Wellness office. Dr. Stacey Hall was also introduced as she was attending on behalf of Dr. Heather Baxter at this meeting. Dr. McLaughlin made a motion to allow the SAW office representative the right to vote in this and all upcoming SEC meetings going forward.
   - **Motion: Dr. K. McLaughlin**  **Seconded: Mr. M. Paget**
   - **Motion: Passed** (all in favor, none opposed, none abstained)

4. **Reports and Standing Items**

   a. **Report From Students**
      
      Ms. Avitzur expressed the timeline changes to the LMCC prep courses that the third years had coming up and the stresses of the Clerkship OSCE. Mr. Kennedy brought up the question from the class of 2020 and what the evaluations look like in clerkship as opposed to preclerkship. Dr. McLaughlin notified him that the MCQ’s are set up to look the same, Ms. Avitzur added to that stating the teaching that
happens through the rotations due help with the overall knowledge through the exams in clerkship.
Ms. Hawes’ only issue brought up was in regards to the nearing end of course three and the stresses related to exams related to that.

b. **UMEC**
   Nothing to report.

c. **PreClerkship**
   Nothing to report.

d. **Clerkship**
   Dr. McLaughlin spoke on behalf of Dr. Veale in her absence for the proposed changes to the Clerkship ITER which is to see two changes.
   Change one – currently a global rating scale of four box choices to choose from, Unsatisfactory, Performance Deficiency, Satisfactory-good, Outstanding. Proposed change is to have three boxes for clarification purposes as both the Unsatisfactory and Performance Deficiency boxes require remediation time to be combined to one to state: Unsatisfactory – Remediation required to address deficiencies.
   Change two – currently two comment boxes one for the MSPR and one that states it won’t be on the MSPR, however some residency programs are wanting the complete ITER for their application process and the second comment is not to be removed for this. The proposed change is to have only one box that allows the comment to be for the MSPR only.
   - **Motion: Dr. K. McLaughlin**
   - **Motion: Passed** (all in favor, none opposed, none abstained)

e. **Director of Student Evaluations**
   Dr. Desy went over the blueprint and showcased the changes of the Clerkship OSCE, and discussed with the committee the new uses of the EPAs that will be used in the upcoming OSCEs.

f. **Accreditation Issues**
   Nothing to mention.

g. **Academic Technologies**
   Mr. Paget updated that the One45 contract renewed for another year along with PGME. Update on One45 API development process. Updated that they are in the process of deleting old data in One45 that is greater than seven years old form by form.

h. **Evaluation Team**
   Nothing to report.

**New Business**

a. **SEC Attendance Requirements**
   Dr. McLaughlin proposed a mandatory meeting requirement for voting members of the SEC committee. After discussion, Dr. Bendiak proposed that any voting, non-student, members who miss three consecutive SEC meetings will be replaced.
• Motion: Dr. G. Bendiak
• Motion: Passed (all in favor, none opposed, none abstained)

b. Studying and Adjusting for Rater Effects in OSCEs
Dr. McLaughlin showed the presentation for this (slides 9-15) and discussed the finding of Hawks and Doves and how that process can be used to revise the pass and fail decisions of students based on sensitivity analyses. He proposed to use the same process for detecting and mitigating rater effects for all OSCEs and that video review will no longer be used for reappraisals which is consistent with the current Clerkship OSCE.

• Motion: Dr. K. McLaughlin
• Motion: Passed (all in favor, none opposed, none abstained)

c. Using Global Rating Scale Rather than Checklist for Med Skills II OSCE Scores
Dr. McLaughlin presented information (slides 17-20) on predicting entrustment with students when entering clerkship. Discussion included the current format of a checklist based score where three students fail to the proposed change of the global rating scale, where the same number of students fail. Proposal for both Med Skills OSCE going forward to use the Global Rating Scale while only using the checklist for feedback.

• Motion: Dr. K. McLaughlin
• Motion: Passed (all in favor, none opposed, none abstained)

d. Preventing, Detecting, and Dealing with Possible Cheating in Exams
Dr. McLaughlin’s presentation continued (slides 22-26) which focused on the topic of how to prevent and detect cheating on exams. He also went further to discuss how the evaluations team will continue to detect the possibility of cheating students. His proposal is to enforce the assigned seating and create different versions of MCQ exams.

• Motion: Dr. K. McLaughlin
• Motion: Passed (all in favor, none opposed, none abstained)

e. Preparing to Evaluate Competence
Dr. McLaughlin then showed slides 28-34 and discussed how going into the future that the EPA’s will be used for competency based evaluations leading up to the post graduate levels.

Meeting adjourned at 3:00 pm
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