



# CALGARY FM R2 & RFM PRECEPTOR SURVEY 2020-2021

Distributed Learning & Rural Initiatives

**PREPARED BY:**

Dr. Rebecca Mahli  
Research Associate

# PRECEPTOR INFORMATION

N=20

PRECEPTOR FOR...	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
Calgary FM Residency Program – R2	3	15
Rural FM Residency Program	14	70
Other:	3	15
Both / Calgary FM, Rural FM, & elective medical students		

PRACTICE LOCATIONS (MULTIPLE LOCATIONS CAN BE SELECTED)	FREQUENCY
--	-----------

Brooks	2
Camrose	2
Cardston	1
Didsbury	1
High River	1
Innisfail	1
Okotoks	2
Olds	2
Pincher Creek	3
Raymond	1
Red Deer	1
Sundre	1
Stettler	2
Taber	1

# PRECEPTOR SATISFACTION WITH PROVIDING EXPERIENCES FOR RESIDENTS

## Survey Question:

"How satisfied are you in providing a rural/regional educational experience for residents?"

Ratings range from **1 - Very Dissatisfied** to **5 - Very Satisfied**

**MEAN RATING = 4.55**

**FREQUENCY**

**PERCENT %**

Very Dissatisfied (1)

0

0

Dissatisfied

0

0

Neutral

0

0

Satisfied

9

45

Very Satisfied (5)

11

55

## Positive Comments

- Students have an opportunity for exposure to multidisciplinary approach to are involving hospitalists and other specialists such as OBGYN
- no concerns
- We have three preceptors working together to provide R2 Residents a great experience working in FM; We enjoy having Residents in our office and try engage our learners as much as possible
- I may be a bit burned out so I feel it may trickle down to the level of commitment I can give my students. That being said, generally I love the energy they bring
- Great experience with learning opportunity both ways
- Would prefer to take only residents who planned on some type of rural practice. The number of residents in the rural program has not increased in years and we produce more urban physicians than is necessary.
- Get residents who generally are very eager to learn and want to have a rural experience
- We have an exposure to multidisciplinary learning experience in a small hospital setting.
- I love working with the residents
- It is really great. Rewarding experience.

## Negative Comments

No negative comments from preceptors

# PRECEPTOR SATISFACTION WITH DLRI COMMUNICATION

## Survey Question:

“In general, were communications from DLRI clear and timely?”

Ratings range from **1 - Very Dissatisfied** to **5 - Very Satisfied**

MEAN RATING = 4.55	FREQUENCY	PERCENT %
Very Dissatisfied (1)	0	0
Dissatisfied	2	10
Neutral	0	0
Satisfied	6	30
Very Satisfied (5)	12	60

### Positive Comments re: Communication

- No concerns (3) / Not applicable
- Communication is very clear , we feel very satisfied
- Seems fine / Seems to be good
- Happy with communications- clear and effective
- Timely, efficient, thorough
- Good communication. Hard to keep up sometimes

### Negative Comments re: Communication

- It's hard to sort out the important emails from the fluff

### Suggestions for improving DLRI interactions with FM R2 and RFM preceptors:

- No concerns / Not applicable
- We feel DLRI is doing a good job interacting; Maybe consider sharing if Resident might be struggling to offer more help or teaching in those areas
- No concerns. Although I am primarily focused on rural residents I have had several urban R2 residents and generally have nothing but positive feedback
- Mark emails important if I actually need to respond. Send less not important emails
- Do you have any rural preceptors as Faculty Advisors?
- Very clear and quick



# PRECEPTORS WANT MORE INFORMATION

## AREAS (MULTIPLE CAN BE SELECTED)

## FREQUENCY

Continuing Medical Education  
Faculty Development Initiatives  
Research  
Other

6  
4  
5  
0

